

Parramatta LEP 2011 - 14-20 Parkes Street, Harris Park Parramatta LEP 2011 - 14-20 Parkes Street, Harris Park Proposal Title : The proposal seeks to increase the maximum FSR to 10:1 (plus design excellence bonus) and Proposal Summary : increase the maximum building height to 122.5m for land at 14-20 Parkes Street, Parramatta. 16/03818 PP Number : PP_2016_PARRA_007_00 Dop File No : Proposal Details LGA covered : Parramatta Date Planning 29-Feb-2016 Proposal Received : RPA : **Parramatta City Council** Metro(Parra) Region : Section of the Act : 55 - Planning Proposal State Electorate : PARRAMATTA LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 14-20 Parkes Street 2150 Suburb : Harris Park Sydney Postcode :: City : Lot 10 DP12882, Lot 13 DP1077402, Lot 14 DP107740, Lot 2 DP128524 Land Parcel : DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Lillian Charlesworth Contact Name : 0298601510 Contact Number : Contact Email : Lillian.Charlesworth@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Diana Khoury** 0298065730 Contact Number : Contact Email : DKhoury@parracity.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : **Terry Doran** 0298601149 Contact Number : Contact Email : Terry.Doran@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : Regional / Sub Consistent with Strategy: Regional Strategy :

rramatta LEP 2011 ·	- 14-20 Parkes Street,	Harris Park			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :			
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :			
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	321		
Gross Floor Area ;	0	No of Jobs Created :	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes				
If No, comment :					
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο				
If Yes, comment :	The Lobbyist Contact Register was checked on 8 April 2016 and indicated no contact with lobbyists regarding this planning proposal.				
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :		2,800 square metres. It comprises o Street, and 2 storeys at 20 Parkes \$			
External Supporting Notes :					
lequacy Assessmer	nt				
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)				
Is a statement of the ot	ojectives provided? Yes				
Comment :		al seeks to amend the Parramatta L ible density of mixed use developn	ocal Environmental Plan 2011 to nent on land at 14-20 Parkes Street,		
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55	(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes				
Comment	PROPOSAL The planning proposal seeks to apply the following planning controls to land at 14-20 Parkes Street, Harris Park: - increase the maximum FSR from 4:1 to 10:1 (plus design excellence and appropriate value sharing mechanism); and - increase the maximum building height from 54m to 122.5m.				
	DEPARTMENT COMM The increase in the F Based on the detailed November 2015, it is excellence. The need	MENT SR to 10:1 and increase in height to d assessment and evidence presen recommended that the FSR should for transition to the heritage conse anning proposal is inappropriate.	o 82 metres is not supported. Ited in the Council report dated 23 I be reduced to 6:1 plus design ervation areas indicates that the		

arramatta LEP 2011 - 14	-zo r arkes offeet, i		
		7	
Justification - s55 (2)(c	;)		
a) Has Council's strategy b	een agreed to by the Di	rector General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones	
* May need the Director General's agreement		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land	
Is the Director General's	s agreement required? N	No	
c) Consistent with Standar	d Instrument (LEPs) Ord	ler 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?		SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development	
e) List any other	SECTION 117 DIREC	TIONS	
matters that need to be considered :	Based on the information s117 directions exce	ation in the planning proposal this proposal is consistent with all the pt as follows.	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as an acid sulfate soils study, required when an intensification of land uses is proposed, has not been prepared.		
	This inconsistency is considered to be justified on the basis of minor significance, given that:		
	(a) the affection is by class 4 acid sulfate soils; and (b) the matter will be further considered at development application stage under clause 6.1 of Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011.		
It is recommended the delegate agree the inconsistency is of minor significance.			
	zone or a provision t	d apply to the planning proposal as it will create, remove or alter a that affects flood prone land. A portion of the site is within the 1:20 ould be inundated in the event of a 1:100 year flood and probably	
	development of the s affected by flooding development outline	vice supplied by Cardno (September 2015) indicates that the site is consistent with the controls for residential development and is also consistent with the Flood Planning Level for residential d in the Floodplain Development Manual 2005 being the 100 Year ent interval) flood event.	
	The planning proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it permits a significant increase in the development of the flood prone land. This inconsistency is justified on the basis that the supporting flood advice has proved it is of minor significance and the matter will be further considered at development application stage.		
	It is recommended the delegate agree the inconsistency is of minor significance.		
	This Direction has b	of A Plan for Growing Sydney een revoked and therefore all references to this Direction and ne metropolitan strategy should be removed from the planning blic exhibition.	
	STATE ENVIRONME	NTAL PLANNING POLICIES	
	Based on the inform with all relevant SEP	nation provided in the Planning Proposal the proposal is consistent PPs.	

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? **Yes** If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : No flood prone land map has been provided. A flood prone land map needs to be provided.

The maps are adequate for public exhibition purposes.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council intend that the planning proposal be advertised in the local newspaper, displayed on Council's website, and written notification provided to adjoining landowners.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation **The principal LEP was made in October 2011.** to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningThe planning proposal is required to implement the Council's plan to provide dwellings in
proposal :proposal :an area that is well provided with public transport access points, and closer to
employment opportunities.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY

This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as the land is within the Parramatta local government area (LGA). This planning proposal is consistent with the plan as it will contribute to the achieving dwelling targets for the subregion and Greater Parramatta. It will also enable more residential floor space on a site that is in close proximity to existing transport infrastructure and employment opportunities. Also aligned with the West Central Subregion priorities, the proposal will accelerate housing supply choice and affordability and build great places to live.

The planning proposal will contribute to mixed-use development by activating employment uses on the site.

PARRAMATTA 2038 COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN

The planning proposal supports the community strategic plan as it will help achieve the goals outlined in the plan by facilitating an integrated mixed use development in close proximity to public transport.

PARRAMATTA CBD PLANNING STRATEGY

The planning proposal is not located within the commercial core of the Parramatta CBD but is consistent with the strategy in that it will allow for redevelopment of the site for mixed residential and commercial building with a building more commensurate with Australia's next great city.

NSW LONG TERM TRANSPORT PLAN 2012

The planning proposal is consistent with the Transport Plan by locating both residential and employment generating uses close to an existing railway station and the future Western Sydney Light Rail, promoting the use of public transport and reduce reliance on private motor vehicles. The proposal is within 400m of the Parramatta transport interchange.

The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant regional and local strategies. Both Parramatta CBD Planning Strategy and A Plan for Growing Sydney support the Parramatta CBD as a commercial core. Business agglomerations are considered to be beneficial to maintaining a commercial core, and supports the outer core as a more suitable residential area.

VEGETATION

Environmental social

economic impacts :

The site is currently developed with commercial buildings and is therefore suitable for development with regard to vegetation as there is no additional clearing or disturbance of vegetation.

URBAN DESIGN AND BUILT FORM

An Urban Design Analysis has been prepared by Architectus (July 2015).

The proposed built form has been designed to respond to the local context, and to ensure impacts on amenity are minimised whilst allowing the site to achieve its maximum development potential. The design concept indicates an acceptable level of compliance with SEPP 65 Apartment Design Guidelines. The concept demonstrates that the development of this site would not unreasonably compromise the potential future development of adjoining sites.

Further development on the site will be subject to an Architectural Design Competition and Development Application stage.

OVERSHADOWING

As noted in the Council report, the proposal would generate a greater shadow length on sites south of Parkes Street. The orientation and width of the tower should be considered during the Development Application stage to deal with this overshadowing.

HERITAGE

The site is not a heritage item and has not been required to provide a supporting heritage study. Although the site is in close proximity to three items of local heritage significance.

Future development on the site will need to ensure minimal impact on scale, views and amenity in relation to heritage items, and the Harris Park Heritage Conservation Area.

To ensure that an appropriate transition is achieved it is recommended that the FSR be reduced to 6:1 and the height be reduced to 79 metres (excluding design excellence bonus). This is consistent with evidence and assessment presented in the council report. See further comments under FSR and maximum height below.

This will take place as part of the design excellence competition and Development Application stage.

TRANSPORT AND ACCESSIBILITY ASSESSMENT

A traffic and transport assessment has been prepared by Varga Traffic Planning (July 2015).

The assessment is supportive of the proposal and considers that the anticipated traffic generation will not have an adverse impact on the local road network and traffic. The assessment also indicates that relocating the driveway further north will affect the canal and impede on adequately responding to the sites flood affectation.

Detailed design concerning this matter will be resolved at Development Application stage.

The Council has advised that broader traffic modelling is currently being undertaken to support the Parramatta CBD planning proposal that has recently been lodged with the Department. It is recommended that this site specific planning proposal should progress to exhibition, however the proposal should be reviewed prior to finalisation, having regard to the results of the broader traffic modelling when it is completed. This review should include confirmation of the appropriate FSR and the cumulative impacts of the increased FSR across the CBD.

Further, consultation must be undertaken with Roads and Maritime Services.

FSR AND MAXIMUM HEIGHT

The proposal seeks a base FSR of 8:1 (plus design excellence bonus) and a maximum FSR of 10:1 if the applicant voluntarily participates in a density bonus scheme of approximately 25% of that value uplift provided the additional floor space has no adverse impact on the amenity of the adjoining area. The Council proposes this value uplift would be secured by a VPA.

It is recommended that a maximum FSR of 6:1 apply to the site in accordance with detailed analysis and testing undertaken by Parramatta Council and presented in the Council report dated 23 November 2016. Transition FSR controls should apply to areas adjoining Harris Park and Experiment Farm Heritage Conservation Areas, Experiment Farm and Robin Thomas Reserve.

It is considered that the recommended lower FSR and height control will promote more appropriately scaled development providing a transition to the conservation areas. A sharp contrast as proposed by the proponent is not supported.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Employment opportunities will be generated by the 600 square metres of commercial floor space area.

A Voluntary Planning Agreement/contributions framework addressing contributions towards community, recreation and physical services will be developed between the proponent and Council. It is intended that any VPA be exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal in accordance with Council's VPA policy.

SOCIAL IMPACTS

This planning proposal will facilitate a supply of housing in an appropriate location which is considered to help ameliorate this undersupply land and as such will result in an

improved social outcome. This proposal will offer a dwelling supply in close proximity to employment opportunities.

CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

There are seven (7) planning proposals for CBD sites currently awaiting Gateway determination that will preceed Council's CBD planning proposal, which has yet to be lodged with the Department for Gateway determination.

As the six (6) proposals recommended to proceed are likely to collectively generate a significant cumulative impact in terms of infrastructure requirements (including transport, health, social services, education and recreation) and aviation safety, it is recommended that these be simultaneously exhibited and forwarded to public agencies for comment.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine	Comm Period	unity Consultation :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make	12 months	Delega	ation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Department of Educa Office of Environmen Transport for NSW - Transport for NSW - State Emergency Set Sydney Water Telstra Other	nt and Heritage Sydney Trains Roads and Maritim			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Νο			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(t	o) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	tudies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasons	S 1				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :				
No internal consultatio	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ding of state infrastructu	re relevant to this p	an? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
Documents					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	me	is Public
Cover Letter.pdf Planning Proposal - 14 Appendix 1 - Parkes St Appendix 2 - Flooding Appendix 3 - TRAFFIC	reet UD Report (5).pdf Assessment.pdf		Proposal Covering Proposal Study Study Study Study		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Interacting Long Llos and Transport
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land
Additional Information :	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS
	It is considered that any inconsistency with Section 17 Directions 4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are of minor significance.
	Should the planning proposal proceed, it is recommended the delegate agree that these inconsistencies are of minor significance.
	DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS Council has requested that it exercise the Greater Sydney Commission's plan making function for this planning proposal. This request is not supported given the large number of planning proposals recently submitted for Gateway determination within the Parramatta CBD ahead of Council's Parramatta CBD Planning Strategy and associated CBD planning proposal, which have not as yet been lodged with, or endorsed by, the Department.
	Accordingly, it is recommended that the delegate NOT agree to delegation to Council.
	RECOMMENDATION
	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to exhibition, Council is to amend the planning proposal to:
	(a) include a flood prone land map;
	(b) reformat Appendix 2 so it is legible;
*	(c) amend the Explanation of Provisions and relevant maps to indicate a proposed maximum building height of 79m (plus design excellence bonus) and a proposed maximum FSR of 6:1 (plus design excellence bonus);
	(d) remove all references to section 117 Direction 7.1 Implementation of a Plan for Growing Sydney and all discussion of outdated and draft Sydney metropolitan strategies; and
	(e) delete the paragraphs headed 'Value Sharing/Funding Mechanism and Design Excellence' from Part 2 - Explanation of Provision.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) Council must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act, as follows:
P.)	Page 8 of 10 03 Jun 2016 02:29 r

- Office of Environment and Heritage Heritage Division
- Office of Environment and Heritage
- Department of Education and Communities
- Transport for NSW Ferries
- Transport for NSW Sydney Trains
- Transport for NSW Roads and Maritime Services
- State Emergency Service
- Telstra
- Sydney Water
- Endeavour Energy

4. The planning proposal is to be concurrently exhibited and forwarded to public authorities for consultation under a single covering letter, together with the following six other planning proposals that were issued with a Gateway determination on the same day and are identified in the Department's covering letter to Council:

- 295 Church Street, Parramatta (PP_2016 PARRA 002 00)
- 48 Macquarie Street and 220-230 Church Street, Parramatta (PP_2016 PARRA 004 00)
- 122 Wigram Street, Harris Park (PP_2016 PARRA 006 00)
- 2-10 Phillip Street, Parramatta (PP_2016 PARRA 010 00)
- 66 Phillip Street, Parramatta (PP_2016 PARA 012 00)
- 180 George Street, Parramatta (PP_2016 PARRA 016 000)

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of each of the planning proposals listed above, a copy of the Parramatta CBD Planning Strategy and any relevant supporting material prepared for each proposal and the Strategy, and given at least 28 days to comment on the proposals.

5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

6. Prior to submitting the proposal to the Department for finalisation the proposal should be reviewed, and amended where necessary, having regard to the mesoscopic modelling (and consultation with Transport for NSW and Roads and Maritime Services) undertaken for the Parramatta CBD planning proposal. This review should include confirmation of the appropriate site specific FSR in the context of the cumulative traffic impacts of increased FSR controls across the CBD.

7. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 18 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Supporting Reasons

The proposal holds merit as it is consistent with regional, metropolitan and local strategies in terms of facilitating additional housing and employment in an area well served by public transport and infrastructure. This will assist in strengthening Parramatta's role as Sydney's second CBD.

It is recommended that the maximum FSR and maximum height be reduced to adequately address the transition to the surrounding conservation area as supported by the evidence and detailed analysis provided in the council report.

The proposal seeks a significant increase in the floorspace and an increase in height controls which is not sufficiently supported by detailed analysis.

The recommended reduced FSR of 6:1 and height 79 metres is based on the evidence provided in the Council report dated 23 November 2015 which particularly highlights the need for a transition to the heritage conservation areas as opposed to a sharp contrast in building form.

Parramatta LEP 201	11 - 14-20 Parkes Street, Harris Park	
Signature:	Doras	_
Printed Name:	TDORAN Date: 3/6/16	-